

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/777,007	7 02/02/2001		Hsingya Arthur Wang	00939A045100	5469
20350	7590	03/12/2004		EXAM	INER
		TOWNSEND AN	ROSE, KI	ROSE, KIESHA L	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANC	CISCO, C	A 94111-3834	2822		

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory	Action
, .u.,,	,

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/777,007	WANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
16. 1 1 5	0000	
Kiesha L. Rose	2822	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whicheve event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. S 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or s issues for appeal; and/or	implifying the
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NO application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which we raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: none.	
Claim(s) objected to: none.	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>6-25</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	
AMIN ARABIAN	Contraction of the Contraction o
- SUPERVISORY PATENT	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arugments filed 29 December 2003 are not found to be persuasive since the applicant argues that the Hsu and Gill reference do not disclose the source region to be bias or programmed. That is correct and as stated in the office action dated 23 September 2003 the Steward reference discloses this limitation and shows the source side programmed and the drain side is grounded. In regards to the Hsu, Gill and Stewart reference not being able to be combined they are all memory device, which means they are from the same field of endeavor. Therefore the rejection stands.